



# GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Singh Marg, Wadala-Pathardi Rood, Nashik-422009.

Ph. 0253 - 2372706, 7798004591, 82 Fax - 0253 - 2372606

www.ggsf.edu.in • e-mail ; geoere.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

# **Basic Engineering Science Department**

### Feedback Analysis Report A.Y.2020-21 (Sem-I)

Date: 14/04/2021

Sr. No.	Name of Staff	Average Feedback
1	Mr. V.M. Gaikwad	4.02
2	Mr. M.A. Amritkar	4.14
3	Ms. A.H. Bhalerao	4.38
4	Ms. P.P. Sanap	4.36
5	Mrs. S. N. Attarkar	4.24
6	Mrs. S. S. Thakare	4.39
7	Ms. D. R. Sonaje	4.29
8	Mr. D. M. Kshirsagar	4.32

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. M.A. Amritkar

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Sem-I)

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

Program: FE-A.B & SE CO

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Rating=4.14	-								Suggestions for improvement:	i i
			-								
	4.12				4.16		17			Total Avg.	1
		4.16	4.16	3.98	3.98	4.18	4.18	4.33	4.33	Average	
4.12	Nillingness to other neip and advise to the Average	+	1.5	4.00	4.00	4.16	4.16	4.28	4.28	At what level Course meets the expectation	œ
4.00%		+									,
		4.15	4.15	3.90	3.90	4.16	4.16	4.38	4.38	Clarity given in evaluation format	7
- 55			:								
1	Pace on which contents were covered	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.22	4.38	4.38	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	٦
107	Notivation and inspiration for suscensive season	4.16	4.16	4.02	4.02	4.16	4.16	4.31	4.31	Overall command on class and subject	'n
4 17	The state of the leavest										2.5
4.17	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	4.12	4.12	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.06	4.31	4.31	Understanding of course objective	-
15	by University?	1.14	4.14	3.98	3.98	4.13	4.13	4.31	4.31	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	w
1	+	4.16	4.16	3.98	3.98	4.25	4.25	4.24	4.24	Delivery of course content	2
1	skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	4.22	4.22	3.98	3.98	4.28	4.28	Ŧ	4.41	Regularity in class conduction	
-											
1.09	Clarity of expectations of students 4.1	_			Rating (05)	Ratin					
		Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	T'h	Th/Pr	
14	Parameter Rating	120	Average	(0)	DELD (SECO)		BNE-FE B	ΕΛ	BXE- FE A	Name of Subject	S. N.
				_		70	Rating			Question	_
	Online				ne	Offine				Out of the last	
						0.00					

Mr. V. M. Galkwad HOD, BES

> Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. C. S. Kankriya Academic Year: 2020-2021(Sem-1) Program: FE-A & B

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Rating=4.07	i n							
								Suggestions for Improvement : *	Sugge
	412			4.03	4.			Total Avg.	
	Average	4.03	4.03	4.05	4.05	4.01	4.01	Average	
_	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	3.95	3.95	3.97	3.97	3.93	3.93	At what level Course meets the expectation	S
<u> </u>	Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	4.04	4.04	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.07	Clarity given in evaluation format	.7
-	Pace on which contents were covered	3.97	3.97	3.97	3.97	3.97	3.97	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	0
	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	1.01	4.04	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.07	Overall command on class and subject	91
-	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	4.15	4.15	4.19	4.19	4.10	4.10	Understanding of course objective	4
	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.11	1:1	4.19	4.19	4.03	4.03	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	5.
-	Feedback provided on Students' progress	4.08	4.08	12.	4.23	3.93	3.93	Delivery of course content	,,
	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	3.93	3.93	3.88	3.88	3.97	3.97	Regularity in class conduction	-
- 1	Clarity of expectations of students			2 (05)	Rating (05)				T
	, ti	Pr	7	77	1,1	Pr	1,1	. Th/Pr	T
Rating	Parameter	356.	Average	=	EGM - B	EGM- A	EG	Name of Subject	?
				ing	Rating	Rating	Rat		
	Online			ine	Offine			Question	
	Soc. 1. Coll. 2. Average: 3. Good: 4. Excellent: 5)	Cood:	c	200	4. 7.				

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Academic Year: 2020-2021(Sem-I)

Program: FE- A & C

Name of Teacher: Ms. K. B. Bhagwat

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

1.5	Cyclan Name								
Dating=115	0							Suggestions for Improvement: *	S
4.32				3.98			Ė	Total Avg.	
Average		3.98	3.98	4.01	4.01	3.96	3.96		Т
ice to students.	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	3.93	8 At what level Course meets the expectation	
nds on training	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	4.02	4.02	4.00	4.00	4.03	4.03	7 Clarity given in evaluation format	
red	Pace on which contents were covered	3.99	3.99	4.00	4.00	3.97	3.97	6 Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	
lents to learn	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.97	3.97	4.07	4.07	3.86	3.86	5 Overall command on class and subject	7.00
pies beyond syllabus	llas the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	3.95	3.95	3.97	3.97	3.93	3.93	4 Understanding of course objective	
lous as prescribed	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.04	4.04	4.07	4.07	4.00	4.00		
gress	Feedback provided on Students' progress	3.97	3.97	4.00	4.00	3.93	3.93	2 Delivery of course content	T
(b) Communication PT)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	4.02	4.02	4.00	4.00	4.03	4.03	1 Regularity in class conduction	gr
	Clarity of expectations of students			(05)	Rating (05)				
	60	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr .	Th	Th/Pr	
Rating	Parameter	age	Average	Ċ	SME-C	->	SME-A	S. N. Name of Subject	Š
				1,5	Rating	ภั	Rating	Question	
	Online			16	Offine		ľ		٦
					ACLA TOOL TAY TOOL TO UNKNOWED	OI . 1, A	CLYLO		

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean



### GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Eingh Marg, Wadala Patharé Road, Nashik-422669. Ph. 0251 - 2372706, 7708014581, 87 fax - 0253 - 2372666 www.ggsf.edu.in • e. mail \_\_gcoord.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

### **Basic Engineering Science Department**

### Feedback Analysis Report A.Y.2020-21 (Sem-II)

Date: 4/08/2021

Sr. No.	Name of Staff	Average Feedback
1	Mr. V.M. Gaikwad	4.38
2	Mr. M.A. Amritkar	4.33
3	Ms. A.H. Bhalerao	4.44
4	Ms. P.P. Sanap	4.38
5	Mrs. S. N. Attarkar	4.21
6.	Mrs. S. S. Thakare	4.36
7	Ms. D. R. Sonaje	4.36
8	Mr. D. M. Kshirsagar	4.08

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

Dr. S. D\Kalpande Academic Dean

# GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RC, NASHIK INSTITUTE'S FEEDBACK REPORT ( Sem.II )

INSTITUTE'S FEEDBACK REPORT ( Sem.II )
DEPARTMENT OF BASIC ENGINEERING SCIENCE

# ACADEMIC YEAR-2020-21

Rate The Teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject :- [Poor:1, Poor-2, Avg-3, Good-4, Excellent-5]

	١	7
į	ì	ŧ
	S	2
	Š	3
		į
	ŀ	3
i		á

S.N	Question	Div A	Div B		Div C
	Laboratory Equipment and Training	4.08	4.20		4.10
2	Library	4.00	4.13		4.04
ω	Play Ground & Sport Activity	3.73	4.35		3.72
.4	Canteen	4.02	4.25		4.08
5	Co-curricular & Extra- curricular activities	4.20	4.38	8	8 3.80
6	Motivational Activities	4.20	4.38	SS	3.96
7	Assessment of Examinations	4.20	4	4.38	.38 4.08
	Internet Epolity	4.13	4	4.31	.31 3.96
0	Training and Placement Support	3.99		4.13	1.13 4.04
,	talling and a manner of the Students	4.07	4	4.44	.44 4.12
10	Hallamis of Chevanics of the Control	4.41	4	4.44	.44 4.36
:	Salety and occurry of the comment	4.27		4.50	4.50 4.20
12	Gender Equally Floringtons	4.13		4.19	4.19 4.28
13	Campus Environment and Commerce	4.20		4.23	4.23 4.24
14	Teaching Quality	4.05		4.31	4.31 4.08
15	Public perception about the Institute	4.13		4.38	
16	Overall Ranking of the Institute	4 20		4.13	4.13 4.16
17	At what level Digital India is Prolifered				

Mr. W. M. Gaikwad HOD BES

> Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

> > Principal

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Sem-II) Program FE A & SE CO

Name of Teacher: Mr. V.M. Gaikwad

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Nery Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Kating 120						Suggestions for improvement.	Sugge
							restions for Improvement : *	S. C.
	4.4			4.28			Total Avg.	
		4.10	4.41	4.55	4.16	4.26		
4.49	Average		4.04	4.55	4.03	4.14	At what level Course meets the expectation	00
1.4.7	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	101	2	2	3			
	(c) Case Study / Other				1		Clarity given in evaluation format	7
5	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training	4.24	4.42	4.50	4.24	4.34		
	Support for the development of Students' skill						_	6
è		4.03	4.34	4.47	4.03	4.21	1	,
1	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.24	4.37	4.53	4.24	4.21	Overall command on class and subject	v.
		- 1				4.20	t Chaerstanding of course objective	t
153	leacher covered relevant topics beyond	4.10	4.41	4.53	1 10	4 78	4	
	ov chiversity.				3 2 2		understanding concepts	·
133	er covered entire Syllabus as prescribed	4.21	4.35	4.56	4.21	4.14		
132	+	4.14	4.52	4.63	4.14	4.41	2 Delivery of course content	2
	Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT,		1	1.00	4:40	4.50	Regularity in class confidencion	-
3	_	4 78	4 53	166	200	30		
	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:							
5	Clarity of expectations of students 4.46		)	Rating (05)				
		Tut	Th	Th	Tut	Th	Th/Pr	
-				(SECO)	(FE A)	(F)	and the summer	_
D 14	Parameter	Average	Ave	N-III	11-11	11	Name of Subject	s.
_					Rating	Ra	Question	
	Online			Offine			Omerica	

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

> Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Sem-II) Program : FE- C & D

Name of Teacher: Mr. N.A. Amritkar

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

								A.	
	Overall Rating=4.55							Suggestions for Improvement : *	Sugge
				4.32			•	Total Avg.	
	4.33	-		100		4.38	4.24	Average	
		4.35	4.29	4 33	12	;		At what level Course meets the expectation	×
e 4.33	Willingness to offer need mine and Average	4.42	4.37	4.39	4.48	4.44	4.25	and the expectation	
4.35	(c) Case Study , State and advice to students.	╁						Clarity given in evaluation	7
-	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training	4.38	4.36	4.48	4.43	4.28	4.28	citation in evaluation format	
25. 5	Support for the development of Students' Skill		1			1	4.15	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	6
	Pace on which contents were covered	4.26	4.27	4.17	4.35	72.7	110	Overall command on class and surject	S
4.42	Motivation and inspiration for success	4.32	4.28	4.26	4.30	4.38	4.25	an class and subject	
4.32	Has the reaches constant of for students to learn	4.32	4.18	4.17	4.26	4.47	4.09	Understanding of course objective	_
4.32	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	3	;		500			understanding concepts	ü
	University?	4.42	4.33	4.52	4.35	4.31	4.31	Teaching methodologies used for	
1.15	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	10.4	4.20	4.17	4.26	4.44	4.25	Delivery of course content	2
4.17	Earlback provided on Students' progress	2							
4.32	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms or. (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	4.42	4.33	4.43	4.35	4.41	4.31	Regularity in class conduction	-
	i tampor			Katung (co)	Natur				
4.37	Clarity of expectations of students			705)	0		П		
		Pr	Th	Pr	TT.	Pr	]	Th/h.r.	
Kamig	Parameter	l ge	VACLUBO	င်	BXE-C	BXE-C		Name of Subject	S
				E.	Rating	Rating	Ra	Question	
	Online			Offine	00				
_	Online		100	9 121216	Very 1 001: 1, 1 001. 2, Average: 2,	001. 1,	very		

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

> Dr. S. R. Kalpande Academic Dean

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (Sem-II)
Name of Teacher: Ms. P. P. Sanap

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

Program: FE- A & B

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Rating=4.38						Suggestions for Improvement: *	Su
						-	I Otal Cree	
	4.35		_	4.40	13		Total Ave	Т
		11 4.38	4.41	4.37	4.38	e 4.46	_	Τ,
135		+	1	4.50	4.51	4.48	8 At what level Course meets the expectation	œ
t	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	12.7	3	120	:			
t.g	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	7 4.31	4.37	4.28	4.31	4.45		7
	a second state of Students' skill	+	4.50	4.55	4.34	4.38	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	6
136	Page on which contents were covered	+	3 8	1 100	1.5	1		5
136	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	7 4.45	4 17	111	,		L	Γ.
5	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	6 4.31	4.46	4.39	4.31	4.52		_
ţ		5 4.41	4.35	4.39	4.4	4.31	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	w
	ovided on Students progress	4.48	4.51	4.42	4.48	4.59	Delivery of course content	2
5	Contract Springer	╁	T					
<u> </u>	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	) 4.45	4.50	4.44	4.45	4.55	Regularity in class conduction	-
129	Clarity of expectations of students		)5)	Rating (05)				
		Pr	. Th	T'h	Pr	Th	Th/Pr	
Rating	Parameter	Average	Ą	РИУ-В	Y-A	рну-а	Name of Subject	S
				Rating	Ē	Rating	Question	
	Online			Offine				
		, ,					1	

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. S. U. Shinde Academic Year: 2020-2021(Sem-II) Program : FE- A & B

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	- 1	0		Т	$\neg$		_	$\overline{}$	_			_	_	,									
	7	Dooes		1		0		_		^	-	_	w		-						S.Z.		_
	- Phonone for unbroxellent :		Total Avg.	Average	meets the expectation	-		succession and success of the control of the	Effectiveness in martine at	Overall command on the	Understanding of course objective	understanding concepts	Teaching methodologies used for	Delivery of course content	Regularity in class conduction			Tall	Th.m.	Name of Subject		Question	
				4.44	4.38			4.48	4.34	4.23		4.48	ļ	1.59	4.52			=	1	BEE-A	Rating		
				4.46	131	4.45		4.41	4.52	4.52		4.34	150	25.4	4.52			Pr		E-A	ing		
		1	1 20	4.36	4.33	4.36		4.42	4.33	4.36		4.39	4.00	=	4.36	1	Ratin	Th		ЗВ	Ra	0	
			ٳ	4.30	4.22	4.28		4.28	4.31	4.33		4.31	4.20	170	4.36		Rating (05)	Pr		BEE-B	Rating	Offine	
				4.40	4.36	4.42		4.45	4.34	4.32		1.11	4.46		44			T,		Ava			1
			1	_	4.28	4.37		4.35	4.42	4.43		4.33	4.44		##			Pr		Average			00000
Overall Rating=4.36	7	1.5.4	Average	and autice to students.	Willingness to offer help and advice to	(c) Case Study / Other	Constant Control of the Control of t	Pace on which contents were consent.	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	University?	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	Feedback provided on Students' progress	9 1100 (101111)	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:  (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	County of experiments of students	Clarity of expectations of students		Farameter		Cilling		il maccinette of
			ţ	4.5.		ţ,	4.26	Ė		4.39	ţ	3	5		ţ	Ė			Rating				

Mr. V. M. Gaikwad HOD, BES

Dr. 8. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

## Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

# Feedback analysis Corrective measures taken For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback - 03/05/2021 and 17/06/2021

Feedback on - Academic

Total no of responses recorded - 249

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback

Sr. No	Major Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
01	Students requested for mcq practice.	Faculties were informed to discuss mcqs while taking online lectures.	All faculties
02	Students were requesting for practical demonstration videos.	Faculties were informed to provide the practical demonstration video links to the students or conduct practical using virtual lab.	All faculties

PPSchep.

Oddwad.

Feedback Coordinator

Department of Basic Engineering Science

Academic Dean

Principal

# Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

# **Action Taken Report**

# (To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No.	Action Taken	Status of implementation
01	Faculties started discussing mcqs while taking online lectures.	Implemented
02	Faculties shared the practical demonstration video links to the students & also conducted some practicals using virtual lab.	Implemented

Head

Department of Basic Engineering Science

# Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Feedback analysis

### Corrective measures taken

### For the Feedback of A.Y. 2020-21

Date of Feedback - 01/05/2020 and 08/06/2021

Feedback on - Institute

Total no of responses recorded - 136

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback

Sr. No	Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
01	Students requested for training sessions for personality development	Training cell will be formed for conducting training sessions	Inctitute Training

PPSenap.

Feedback Coordinator

W.

Department of Basic Engineering Science

Academic Dean

Principal

## Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

### **Action Taken Report**

### (To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No.	Action Taken	Status of implementation
01	Training cell is formed for conducting training sessions	Implemented

Head

Department of Basic Engineering Science